



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/768,606	12/18/1996	ANDREW T. BUSEY	4068.P002X	1226
7	2590 04/25/2002			ŕ
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			EXAMINER	
12400 WILSH 7TH FLOOR			KINDRED, ALFORD W	
LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

est.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
t	08/768,606	BUSEY ET AL.	0.0			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<i>\X</i> /			
	Alford W. Kindred	2172				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	dress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. mmunication.			
Status	T-1					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 f						
, _	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			e ments is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 10-68 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-68</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		_				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment(s)	. ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	/ Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(Informal Patent Application (PTC				

Art Unit: 2172

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: RCE, filed on 2/12/02.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 10-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Volano, "VolanoChat Java solution turns ordinary web sites into interactive money makers", Business Wire, pp.1-2, in view of Judson, U.S. Patent Number 5,572,619, filed 10/19/95, class 395/793, title "Web browser with dynamic display of information objects during linking", and further in view of Sanderman, US 5,794,006.

With respect to independent claim 10, <u>Volano</u> discloses "establishing a chat region controlled by a chat client" ("VolanoChat works with all Java-compatible browsers . . . can accommodate large numbers of simultaneous real-time interactions . . . such as browser plug-ins, Internet chat . . ."--page 1 of 2). <u>Volano</u> does not disclose "a browser frame controlled by a browser client . . .". <u>Sanderman</u> discloses "a browser frame controlled by a browser client . . . with the browser region" (see col. 7, lines 16-45). It

Art Unit: 2172

would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Sanderman and Volano above, because using the steps of a browser client that is controlled by a browser frame, would have given those skilled in the art the ability to incorporate chat functions in a user's browser. Volano does not disclose "in response to a command received by a computer, establishing a browser . . . ". Judson discloses "in response to a command received by a computer, establishing a browser . . ." ("client machine having a browser, wherein the information objects are downloading of a hypertext object, selectively displaying one of the stored information objects . . ."--column 10, line 53). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Judson "in response to a command received by a computer, establishing a browser . . . " ("client machine having a browser, wherein the information objects are downloading of a hypertext object, selectively displaying one of the stored information objects . . . "-column 10, line 53) with the teachings of Volano above, because using the steps of "in response to a command received by a computer, establishing a browser . . . " would have given those skilled in the art the tools to create a browser in the an Internet environment. This give users the ability to user browsers to view and manipulate information on the Internet via commands of a computer.

With respect to dependent claim 11, **Volano** discloses "displaying the chat region and the browser region simultaneously on the display device; and allowing a user to concurrently perform a chat function, a browser function or both" ("simultaneous real-time interactions . . . user-group support . . ."--page 1 of 2).

Art Unit: 2172

With respect to dependent claim 12, <u>Volano</u> discloses "establishing the browser and chat regions on the display device, the command being received by the computer from a user or a computer network" ("optimized for novice Web users that just want to chat . . . all the Java chat applications . . ."--page 1 of 2).

With respect to dependent claim 13, <u>Volano</u> discloses "with a chat client resident on the computer, processing chat content using a browser server for display in the chat region; with a browser resident on the computer, processing a document or other content for concurrent display in the browser region" ("Volano markets Java solutions for multi-user environments . . . a Web-based chat solution written entirely in Java" page 2 of 2).

With respect to dependent claim 14, <u>Volano</u> discloses "linking the chat client to the browser so that the chat client controls the content displayed in the browser region."

<u>Judson</u> discloses "linking the chat client to the browser so that the chat client controls the content displayed in the browser region" ("the first hypertext document . . . link that initiates downloading of the second hypertext document . . ."--column 8, line 45). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Judson "linking the chat client to the browser so that the chat client controls the content displayed in the browser region" ("the first hypertext document . . . link that initiates downloading of the second hypertext document . . . "--column 8, line 45) with the teachings of Volano above, because using the steps of "linking the chat client to the browser so that the chat client controls the content displayed in the browser region" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to

Art Unit: 2172

link chat data controls to the client. This gives users at the client the benefit of using browser functions when operating in the chat mode.

with respect to dependent claim 15, <u>Volano</u> discloses "linking the chat client to the browser through an application program interface of the browser" ("administrative features include modification of all user-interface text . . ."--page 1 of 2).

With respect to dependent claim 16, <u>Volano</u> discloses "in response to the chat content received by the computer, invoking the chat client through the application program interface, so that the chat content is displayed in the chat region" ("VolanoChat supports banner advertisements in its chat rooms . . ."--page 1 of 2).

With respect to dependent claim 17, <u>Volano</u> discloses "so that the chat content is displayed in the chat region, the chat content being of a particular MIME type" ("the first Java based chat solution . . . complete administrative control . . ."--page 1)

With respect to dependent claim 18, <u>Volano</u> does not disclose "so that the chat content is displayed in the chat region, the chat client including a file having a particular extension." <u>Judson</u> discloses "so that the chat content is displayed in the chat region, the chat client including a file having a particular extension" ("supports files in the form of documents and pages . . . protocol that provides user access to files . . ."--column 3, line 53). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Judson "so that the chat content is displayed in the chat region, the chat client including a file having a particular extension" ("supports files in the form of documents and pages . . . protocol that provides user access to files . . ."--column 3, line 53) with the teachings of Volano above, because

Art Unit: 2172

using the steps of "so that the chat content is displayed in the chat region, the chat client including a file having a particular extension" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to recognize and display data according the extension without opening the entire file.

With respect to dependent claim 19, <u>Volano</u> discloses "linking the chat client to the browser through the application program interface, the application program interface being implemented as a plug-in architecture" ("chat technologies, such as browser plugins . . ."--page 1 of 2).

With respect to dependent claim 20, <u>Volano</u> discloses "an ActiveX architecture" ("Volcano server runs on any platform that supports Java, including Microsoft . . ."—page 1 of 2).

With respect to dependent claim 21, <u>Volano</u> discloses "displaying the chat content in the chat region" ("Java based chat solution to offer in-room advertising . . ."--page 1 of 2).

With respect to dependent claim 22, <u>Volano</u> discloses "displaying the browser content in the browser region" ("Browser plug-ins, Internet Relay chat . . ."--page 1 of 2).

With respect to dependent claim 23 and 66-68, <u>Volano</u> does not disclose "the browser content including a markup language document." <u>Judson</u> discloses "the browser content including a markup language document" ("HTML tag is a "comment," . . . by the browser . . . HTML elements . . ."--column 5, line 6). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill to have combined the teachings of Judson "the browser content including a markup language document"

Art Unit: 2172

("HTML tag is a "comment," . . . by the browser . . . HTML elements . . ."--column 5, line 6) with the teachings of Volano above, because using the step of "the browser content including a markup language document" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to view markup language via a browser. This gives users the ability to view interactions with information on the Internet.

With respect to claim 24, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 3 and is similarly rejected.

With respect to dependent claim 25, <u>Volano</u> does not disclose "establishing the chat region embedded in the web page; and establishing the Web page embedded in the browser region." <u>Judson</u> discloses "establishing the chat region embedded in the web page; and establishing the Web page embedded in the browser region" (the browser may be suitably programmed to queue the mini web page . . ."--column 6, line 42). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings of Judson "establishing the chat region embedded in the web page; and establishing the Web page embedded in the browser region" (the browser may be suitably programmed to queue the mini web page . . ."--column 6, line 42) with the teachings of Volano above, because using the steps of "establishing the chat region embedded in the web page; and establishing the Web page embedded in the browser region" would have given those skilled in the art the ability to send and retrieve chat functions from embedded web pages and browsers. This allows users to communicate faster in chat rooms and the like.

Art Unit: 2172

With respect to claims 26 and 27, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 3 and are similarly rejected.

With respect to independent claim 28 and dependent claims 29-47, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected independent claim 10 and dependent claims 11-27. In independent claim 28 and dependent claims 29-47, Applicant claims a system which contains means corresponding to the steps of the method of independent claim 10 and dependent claims 11-27.

With respect to independent claim 48 and dependent claims 49-65, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected independent claim 10 and dependent claims 11-27. In independent claim 48 and dependent claims 49-65, Applicant claims a computer program product which contains means corresponding to the steps of the method of independent claim 10 and dependent claims 11-27.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-68 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2172

Conclusion

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703)- (703)-746-7239 (formal communications intended for entry),

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Sixth Floor Receptionist, Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to

Alford Kindred, whose telephone number is (703)-305-3802 and can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu, can be reached at (703)-305-4393.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is

Alford W. Kindred

Patent Examiner

Tech Ctr. 2100